

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/505,309                                | 08/20/2004    | Dietmar Von Zwehl    | 4736/PCT            | 6008             |
| 21553 75                                  | 90 03/20/2006 |                      | EXAM                | INER             |
| FASSE PATENT ATTORNEYS, P.A. P.O. BOX 726 |               |                      | SUTTON, ANDREW W    |                  |
| HAMPDEN, ME 04444-0726                    |               |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,   |               |                      | 3765                | ***              |

DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)                         |  |  |  |
|--|------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|  | 10/505,309       | VON ZWEHL ET AL.                     |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner         | Art Unit                             |  |  |  |
|  | Andrew W. Sutton | 3765                                 |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
| Status   |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A  | ugust 2004.      | ·                                    |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.   |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 August 2004 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
|  |                  | •                                    |  |  |  |
|  |                  | •                                    |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  |                  |                                      |  |  |  |
| Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/20/04.   |                  | Patent Application (PTO-152)         |  |  |  |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)  Office A  | ction Summary F  | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20060316 |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

The specification is objected to as on page 6 line 8 the applicant uses the word constancy. The spelling of the word is incorrect and it is unclear whether the word is supposed to be constant, consistency, or neither. Correction is needed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The applicants disclose of a computer means fails to invoke 112(6<sup>th</sup>) paragraph since a computer means just implies a computer. Further the applicants disclose of the limitations "suitable means" and "make it possible to arrange," has no clear meaning as to what the applicant is claiming. Could the "suitable means" be a worker, a computer, a weight, or something else? Clarification must be given.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/505,309 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by anticipated by Krumm (US 6,962,171).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

As to claims 1, Krumm discloses a gear means, which permits the flywheel having a inertia moment to become effective on the drive shaft of the shed forming machine. (Col. 15-16 lines 64-2). Further, Krumm teaches that the flywheel comprises a means for varying the size of the mass inertia moment. Krumm discloses that the means is a signal-transmitting manner with a control unit (Col. 17 lines 45-46).

As to claim 2, it would inherent that the inertia being in a suitable form for the device of Krumm to work.

As to claim 5, as stated above, a flywheel is used as the adjustable moment of inertia device.

Application/Control Number: 10/505,309

Art Unit: 3765

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krumm (US 6,962,171). Krumm discloses the device substantially above. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the inertial mass change automatically as it would provide better control of the loom

As to claim 4, Krumm discloses the device substantially above. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to manually change the flywheel when the inertial mass needed to be changed.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6 and 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Froment (US 5,642,757), Tamura (US 6,532,996), and Wagner (US 6,307,340 teach the state of the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/505,309 Page 5

Art Unit: 3765

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew W. Sutton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on (571) 272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AWS 15 March 2006

JOHN SCALVERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY ALLEGER 2700